# **EXHIBIT B**

rBM/RAN



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United State Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/577,487	05/25/2000	Thomas S. Heath	3351-042	- 6601	
•	7590 07/27/2004		BXAMI	NER	
Lowe Hauptman Gopstein Gillman & Berner LLP			YODER III, CHRISS S		
c/o Kenneth M Suite 310	i Berner .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 Diagonal	l Road		2612		
Alexandria, V	'A 22314		DATB MAILED: 07/27/2004 5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETED BY: 2/C DUE DATE: 10/27/0 9 FOR /A/OA

RECEIVED

JUL 28 2001

Lowe, Hauptman, Gilman & Berner

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

***************************************		MAY 2	5 2005	\$
( .	Application No.	F)	Applicant(s)	*
	09/577,487		HEATH, THOMAS S.	:
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	•
	Chriss S. Yoder, III		2612	·
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 13 Miles action is FINAL.  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-4.7-10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws  5) Claim(s) 1-4.7-10 is/are allowed.	of IS SET TO EXPIRE 3  36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the district of the statutory minimum of the statutory of the sta	MONTH( a reply be time thirty (30) days ONTHS from ABANDONE if timely filed	S) FROM  nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133). the may reduce any	eation.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers	election requirement.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2000 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ obj drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawi	/ance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have been s (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ı Applicati en recelve	on No ed in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		io(s)/Mail Da of Informal P	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5

· .... 1

Application/Control Namber: 09/577,487

Art Unit: 2612



Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-4, 7-10, and 12-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burt et al. (US Patent # 6,999,662) in view of Yagi et al. (US Patent # 6,268,884) and further in view of Takiguchi et al. (US Patent # 6,549,681).
- 2. In regard to claim 1, note Burt discloses the use of a computer implemented method comprising extracting a first and a second individual frame of imagery from a series of video frames (column 17, lines 38-41; and figure 2B; the images are taken sequentially, a first and second individual frame), determining regions of interest in order to overlap two images (column 17, lines 45-47), identifying commonality from the first frame to the second frame (column 17, lines 45-47), and overlapping the individual frames based on the commonality identified from the first and second frames (column 17, lines 45-47) and displaying and image representing a continuous area (column 4, lines 55-60).

Page 3

Application/Control Nober: 09/577,487

Art Unit: 2612

Therefore, it can be seen that the Burt device fails to detect edges of an object in the first and second frames and the correlation of regions of interest by comparing each region of interest to each other region of interest. Yagi discloses the detection of the edge of an object by detecting changes in the intensity from one pixel to another (column 5, lines 21-24; after detecting the brightness values, the outline of the image is created). Yagi teaches that the detection of the edge of an object by detecting changes in the intensity from one pixel to another and drawing a line at the detected edge is preferred in order to outline the objects to compensate for the roughness of edges (column 5, lines 50-55). Takiguchi discloses the correlation of regions of interest by comparing each region of interest to each other region of interest (column 32, lines 45-67; the correlation is done using the comparison of regions from one frame to the next; and figures 47, 49, and 50). Takiguchi teaches that the correlation of regions using comparison is preferred in order to ensure that the correct regions are going to be overlapped to accurately create the correct mosaic (column 31, lines 60-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Burt device to include the use of edge detection of an object in the first and second frames and the correlation of regions of interest by comparing each region of interest to each other region of interest as suggested by Yagi and Takiguchi.

3. In regard to claim 2, note Burt discloses the use of a computer implemented method comprising extracting individual frames of imagery taken from video, identifying commonality from one frame to the next, and overlapping the individual frames and displaying and image representing a continuous area.

Application/Control Namber: 09/577,487

Art Unit: 2612

Page 4

Therefore, it can be seen that the Burt device lacks the use of a camera that takes images at 30 frames per second. Official notice is taken that the concepts and advantages of using a camera that takes images at 30 frames per second are notoriously well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Burt device to include the use of a video camera that takes images at 30 frames per second in order to allow the video to also be displayed on a conventional television.

- 4. In regard to claim 3, note Burt discloses the use of MPEG compression to store the images (column 15, lines 3-6).
- 5. In regard to claim 4, note Burt discloses the conversion of MPEG files into black and white images (column 5, lines 7-12).
- 6. In regard to claim 7, note Burt discloses the compensation of platform/camera motions (column 19, lines 12-15).
- 7. In regard to claim 8, note Yagi discloses the detection of the edge of an object (column 5, lines 21-24; and figure 5), follow adjacent pixels until an off pixel is detected (column 5, lines 21-24; and figure 5), and repeating the process for the entire image (column 5, lines 21-24; and figure 5). And Takiguchi discloses the counting of pixels and comparing the total to a threshold (figure 28: S1303-S1304; if the number of pixels is greater than the threshold, then continue with the image overlapping, otherwise look for other structures).
- 8. In regard to claim 9, note Yagi discloses the storage of the location of on pixels within each designated structure (column 6, lines 10-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/577,487

Art Unit: 2612

9. In regard to claim 10, note Yagi discloses the creation of a line in the image to distinguish where the structure is located (column 5, lines 21-24; column 5, lines 50-55; it would be implied that in the process of creating this line the pixel values are changed in order to compensate for the roughness of edges, thereby avoiding the use of these pixels in future structures).

( )

10. In regard to claim 12, note Takiguchi discloses the calculation of a centroid for each region of interest in the first frame (figure 47: A-1), comparing the centroid in the first frame with the centroids in the next frame (column 32, lines 45-67), selecting the centroid in the second frame within an error tolerance (column 32, lines 45-56), correlating an average distance from every pixel in the

first frame with associated the structure in next frame (solution 20-line  $A \mathsf{S} =$ 

Page 5